## AGENDA ITEM 7

## (b) Victor Ronald Bruce, M.D.

John Hunt, Esq. appeared with Dr. Bruce as his legal counsel.

Dr. Prabhu asked Dr. Bruce whether he wanted his application to be considered in closed session, with the public being excluded, and he said that he did.

Mr. Duxbury moved that the Board go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.030. Ms. Mastroluca seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

Upon returning to open session, Dr. Hardwick moved that the Board accept Dr. Bruce's proposed preceptorship plan and that Dr. Bruce will not be able to write controlled substances for a minimum of 24 months, or until his probationary period lapses, whichever is greater, and at that time, he will still not be able to write controlled substance prescriptions prior to approval of the Board. Mr. Duxbury seconded the motion, and it passed, with Dr. Muro opposed and all other Board members voting in favor of the motion.

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against:

VICTOR RONALD BRUCE, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 20-12252-1

FILED

NOV 23 2020

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

## FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee (IC)<sup>1</sup> of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Senior Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Victor Ronald Bruce, M.D. (Respondent), violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its First Amended Complaint (Amended Complaint), stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

- 1. Respondent is a medical doctor currently licensed in active-restricted status by the Board pursuant to Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Chapter 630 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) (collectively, the Medical Practice Act). His license was originally issued on September 7, 2018 (License No. 18273).
- 2. Bernard Kofi Addo-Quaye, M.D. (Addo-Quaye) was at all times relative to this Amended Complaint a licensed medical doctor holding an active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 9413). Addo-Quaye was originally licensed by the Board on June 13, 2000.
- 3. On October 23, 2014, in the matter of United States of America v. Victor Bruce, MD, United States District Court, District of Nevada, Case No. 2: 13-cr-0041-APG-CWH, the Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Amended Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Victor M. Muro, M.D., Chairman, Ms. April Mastroluca, and Weldon Havins, M.D., J.D.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

entered its Judgment in a Criminal Case, adjudging Respondent guilty of violating 21 USC §§ 846, 841(a)(l) and (b)(l)(C), conspiracy to distribute oxycodone, and sentencing him to forty-six (46) months of imprisonment, among other sanctions.

- On December 31, 2014, the Board revoked Respondent's former license to practice medicine (License No. 8652) pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and Order entered by the Board on December 8, 2014.
- 5. Following Respondent's release from incarceration, Respondent submitted to the Board an application for licensure and preceptor plan. After an appearance by Respondent and Addo-Quaye before the Board at a public meeting on September 7, 2018, and good case appearing, the Board issued Respondent an "Active-Restricted" license to practice medicine for the express purpose of permitting him to participate in a preceptorship with Addo-Quaye, whereby Addo-Quaye was to supervise the medical activities of Respondent and report to the Board regarding Respondent's competence to practice medicine. The Board ordered Respondent to complete a one (1) year preceptorship with Addo-Quaye, and explicitly restricted Respondent from prescribing controlled substances for a minimum of twenty-four (24) months or until his probation period lapses, whichever is greater, and subject to prior approval of the Board.
- 6. Addo-Quaye held, at all times relative to this Amended Complaint, a controlled substance registration, Certificate of Registration No. CS10103, issued by the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy (Pharmacy Board).
- 7. Respondent, at all times relative to this Amended Complaint, did not hold a controlled substance registration, or any other kind of license to prescribe controlled substances, issued by the Pharmacy Board.
- Addo-Quaye, at all times relative to this Amended Complaint, was the proprietor of "Bernard Addo-Quaye, MD PC," dba "TruCare Medical Center" ("TruCare"). TruCare is located at 2290 McDaniel Street, Suite 2A, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89030.
- 9. Respondent was engaged as a preceptee of Addo-Quaye at TruCare from September 2018 to present.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. out of the country from September 1, 2019, to Addo-Ouave was September 20, 2019.

## **COUNT I**

## NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3)

## (Violation of Statutes and Regulations of the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy)

- All of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-10 above are hereby incorporated 11. by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- Respondent is a practitioner as defined by NRS 639.0125(1), as a physician, who 12. holds a license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada.
- NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3) provides that engaging in conduct that violates a provision 13. of chapter 639 of NRS, or a regulation adopted by the State Board of Pharmacy pursuant thereto, that is applicable to a licensee who is a practitioner, as defined in NRS 639.0125, is grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- The Nevada State Board of Pharmacy adopted NAC 454.060, which sets forth 14. requirements for written prescriptions for dangerous drugs. NAC 454.060 incorporates and includes the prescription requirements of NRS 454.223. In part, NRS 454.223(2)(a) provides:
  - A written prescription must contain: (a) The name of the practitioner, the signature of the practitioner if the prescription was not transmitted orally and the address of the practitioner if not immediately available to the pharmacist;
- Respondent violated NAC 454.060 in that, as Addo-Quaye's preceptee, and while 15. Addo-Quaye was out of the country from September 1, 2019, to September 20, 2019, Respondent prescribed dangerous drugs by using Addo-Quaye's blank prescription pads having Addo-Quaye's name pre-printed on the prescription forms. Respondent failed to cross-out Addo-Quaye's name on the prescription forms and write in his own name prior to Respondent signing his own name on the prescription for dangerous drugs, in violation of NAC 454.060, a regulation of the State Board of Pharmacy, because the above-referenced prescription did not contain Respondent's name (although it did contain Respondent's signature), as required by NRS 454.223(2)(a) which is incorporated by NAC 454.060, a regulation adopted by the State Board of Pharmacy.

111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

## WHEREFORE, the IC prays:

- That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give him notice that he may file an answer to the Amended Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Amended Complaint;
- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it finds and concludes that 3. there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, 4. conclusions of law and order, in writing, to include sanctions to be imposed; and
- 5. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this 23 day of November, 2020.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE/BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Senior Deputy General Counsel Attorney for the Investigative Committee

## OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

## **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF NEVADA	)	
	: ss.	
COUNTY OF WASHOE	)	

Mr. M. Neil Duxbury, having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this 23 day of November, 2020.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am employed by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners and that on the 23rd day of November, 2020, I served a file-stamped copy of the FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, via electronic mail to the following:

> John A. Hunt Esq. at jhunt@clarkhill.com c/o Victor Ronald Bruce, M.D. 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 500 Las Vegas, NV 89169

DATED this Aday of November, 2020.

Mercedes Fuentes, Legal Assistant Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

23

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against

Case No. 20-12252-1

DEC - 4 2020

FILED

**NEVADA STATE BOARD OF** 

VICTOR RONALD BRUCE, M.D.,

Respondent.

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Senior Deputy General Counsel for the Board and attorney for the IC, and Victor Ronald Bruce, M.D. (Respondent), a licensed physician in Nevada, assisted by his attorney, John Hunt, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill PLC, hereby enter into this Settlement Agreement (Agreement) based on the following:1

## BACKGROUND

- Respondent is a medical doctor currently licensed in active-restricted status by the Board pursuant to Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Chapter 630 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) (collectively, the Medical Practice Act). His license was originally issued on September 7, 2018 (License No. 18273).
- 2. On November 25, 2020, in Case No. 20-12252-1, the IC filed a formal First Amended Complaint (Complaint) charging Respondent with violating the Medical Practice Act.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, the Complaint alleges one (1) violation: one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3), Violation of Statutes and Regulations of the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy.

111 24

25

26

27

28

<sup>2</sup> Due to the filing of the Amended Complaint, the initial formal Complaint filed on August 13, 2020, is withdrawn and deemed to be of no force and effect.

<sup>1</sup> All agreements and admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of these matters and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, Respondent's agreements and admissions are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, state or federal civil or criminal proceeding, any state or federal court proceeding, or any credentialing or privileges matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.
- 4. Respondent was properly served with a copy of the Complaint, has reviewed and understands the Complaint, and has had the opportunity to consult with competent counsel concerning the nature and significance of the Complaint.
- 5. Respondent is hereby advised of his rights regarding these administrative matters, and of his opportunity to defend against the allegations in the Complaint. Specifically, Respondent has certain rights in these administrative matters as set out by the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the Nevada Open Meeting Law (OML), which is contained in NRS Chapter 241, and the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act (APA), which is contained in NRS Chapter 233B. These rights include the right to a formal hearing on the allegations in the Complaint, the right to representation by counsel, at his own expense, in the preparation and presentation of his defense, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses and evidence against him, the right to written findings of fact, conclusions of law and order reflecting the final decision of the Board, and the right to judicial review of the Board's order, if the decision is adverse to him.
- 6. Respondent understands that, under the Board's charge to protect the public by regulating the practice of medicine, the Board may take disciplinary action against Respondent's license, including license probation, license suspension, license revocation and imposition of administrative fines, as well as any other reasonable requirement or limitation, if the Board concludes that Respondent violated one or more provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
- 7. Respondent understands and agrees that this Agreement, by and between Respondent and the IC, is not with the Board, and that the IC will present this Agreement to the Board for consideration in open session at a duly noticed and scheduled meeting. Respondent understands that the IC shall advocate for the Board's approval of this Agreement, but that the Board has the right to decide in its own discretion whether or not to approve this Agreement. Respondent further understands and agrees that if the Board approves this Agreement, then the terms and conditions enumerated below shall be binding and enforceable upon him and the Board. 111



## B. **TERMS & CONDITIONS**

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to resolve the matters addressed herein, i.e., the matters with regard to the Complaint, Respondent and the IC hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Jurisdiction. Respondent is, and at all times relevant to the Complaint has been, a physician licensed to practice medicine in Nevada subject to the jurisdiction of the Board as set forth in the Medical Practice Act.
- 2. Representation by Counsel/Knowing, Willing and Intelligent Agreement. Respondent acknowledges he is represented by counsel, and wishes to resolve the matters addressed herein with said counsel. Respondent agrees that if representation by counsel in this matter materially changes prior to entering into this Agreement and for the duration of this Agreement, that counsel for the IC will be timely notified of the material change. Respondent agrees that he knowingly, willingly and intelligently enters into this Agreement after deciding to have a full consultation with and upon the advice of legal counsel.
- 3. Waiver of Rights. In connection with this Agreement, and the associated terms and conditions, Respondent knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights in connection with these administrative matters. Respondent hereby knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights arising under the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the OML, the APA, and any other legal rights that may be available to him or that may apply to him in connection with the administrative proceedings resulting from the Complaint filed in this matter, including defense of the Complaint, adjudication of the allegations set forth in the Complaint, and imposition of any disciplinary actions or sanctions ordered by the Board. Respondent agrees to settle and resolve the allegations of the Complaint as set out by this Agreement, without a hearing or any further proceedings and without the right to judicial review.
- 4. Acknowledgement of Reasonable Basis to Proceed. As of the time of entering into this Settlement Agreement, the allegations of the Complaint remain unproven. Respondent acknowledges that the IC believes it has a reasonable basis to allege that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. The IC acknowledges



2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that Respondent is not admitting that the IC's claims/counts as alleged in the Complaint have merit and Respondent is agreeing to resolve this matter to avoid the costs of hearing and potential subsequent litigation. Respondent asserts that if these matters were to proceed to hearing, he has evidence, witnesses, expert witness(es) and defenses to the count/claim alleged in the Complaint, but for the purposes of resolving these matters and for no other purpose, Respondent waives the presentation of evidence, witnesses, expert witnesses, and defenses in order to effectuate this Agreement.

- 5. Consent to Entry of Order. In order to resolve the Complaint pending against Respondent, Respondent hereby agrees that the Board may issue an order finding that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. Accordingly, the following terms and conditions are hereby agreed upon:
- a. Respondent admits to Count I of the Complaint, one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(1)(b)(3), Violation of Statutes and Regulations of the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy.
- b. Respondent shall pay a fine of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) within sixty (60) days of the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement.
- Respondent will pay the costs and expenses incurred in the investigation c. and prosecution of the above-referenced matter within sixty (60) days of the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement, in the amount of two thousand eighty-four dollars and eighteen cents (\$2,084.18).
- d. Respondent shall undergo either an independent peer review examination or a Physician Assessment and Clinical Education (PACE) examination to assess his current clinical competency to practice medicine, with the examination to be completed within ninety (90) days of the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement. The examination shall be conducted pursuant to and in accord with NRS 630.318. The examination shall be conducted by a provider approved by the Board in advance of the examination. The examiner shall, within sixty (60) days of the completion of the examination, render an opinion and report to the Board regarding whether Respondent is competent to practice medicine with an unrestricted license,



3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

noting any concerns or deficiencies and areas of necessary improvement. The examination and report shall be performed at Respondent's sole expense.

- Upon completion of the terms and conditions set forth in paragraph 5(b)-(d) above, Respondent shall petition the Board for an unrestricted license, and appear at a public meeting where the Board shall review the record presented and render a determination on that petition in good faith.
- f. Respondent shall remain prohibited from writing prescriptions for controlled substances until further order of the Board.
- This Agreement shall be reported to the appropriate entities and parties as g. required by law, including, but not limited to, the National Practitioner Data Bank.
  - h. Respondent shall receive a Public Letter of Reprimand.
- i. All claims and allegations arising from Board Investigative Case No. 19-18983 shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 6. Release From Liability. In execution of this Agreement, Respondent understands and agrees that the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents are immune from civil liability for any decision or action taken in good faith in response to information acquired by the Board. NRS 630.364(2)(a). Respondent agrees to release the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that Respondent ever had, now has, may have or claim to have, against any or all of the persons, government agencies or entities named in this paragraph arising out of, or by reason of, this investigation, this Agreement or the administration of the case referenced herein.
- 7. Procedure for Adoption of Agreement The IC and counsel for the IC shall recommend approval and adoption of the terms and conditions of this Agreement by the Board in resolution of the Complaint at an upcoming Board meeting at the Board's earliest convenience,



2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

111

and Respondent hereby waives notice of the same, whether under the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the OML, the APA, or otherwise. In the course of seeking Board acceptance, approval and adoption of this Agreement, counsel for the IC may communicate directly with the Board staff and the adjudicating members of the Board.

Respondent acknowledges that such contacts and communications may be made or conducted ex parte, without notice or opportunity to be heard on his part until the public Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed, and that such contacts and communications may include, but may not be limited to, matters concerning this Agreement, the Complaint and any and all information of every nature whatsoever related to these matters. The IC and its counsel agree that Respondent and/or Counsel for the Respondent may appear at the Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed and, if requested, respond to any questions that may be addressed to the IC or the IC's counsel.

- 8. Effect of Acceptance of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board accepts, approves and adopts this Agreement, the Board shall issue a final order, making this Agreement an order of the Board, and, pending full compliance with the terms herein, the case shall be closed and any and all remaining claims arising out of the Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- 9. Effect of Rejection of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board does not accept, approve and adopt this Agreement, this Agreement shall be null, void and of no force and effect except as to the following agreement regarding adjudications: (1) Respondent agrees that, notwithstanding rejection of this Agreement by the Board, nothing contained in this Agreement and nothing that occurs pursuant to efforts of the IC to seek the Board's acceptance of this Agreement shall disqualify any member of the adjudicating panel of the Board from considering the Complaint and from participating in disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, including adjudication of this case; and (2) Respondent further agrees that he shall not seek to disqualify any such member absent evidence of bad faith.
- 10. Binding Effect. If approved by the Board, Respondent understands that this Agreement is a binding and enforceable contract upon Respondent and the Board.



11.	Forum Selection Clause. The parties agree that in the event either party is
required to	seek enforcement of this Agreement in district court, the parties consent to such
jurisdiction	and agree that jurisdiction shall be in the Second Judicial District Court, State of
Nevada, Wa	ashoe County.

- 12. Attorneys' Fees and Costs. The parties agree that in the event an action is commenced in district court to enforce any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 13. Failure to Comply With Terms. Should Respondent fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement once the Agreement has been accepted, approved and adopted by the Board, the IC shall be authorized to immediately suspend Respondent's license to practice medicine in Nevada pending an Order To Show Cause Hearing on revocation, which will be duly noticed. Failure to comply with the terms of this Agreement, including failure to pay any fines, costs, expenses or fees owed to the Board, is a failure to comply with an order of the Board, which may result in additional disciplinary action being taken against Respondent. NRS 630.3065(2)(a).

Further, Respondent's failure to remit payment to the Board for monies agreed to be paid as a condition of this Agreement may subject Respondent to any civil and administrative collection efforts available.

Dated this 23 day of November, 2020.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

Aaron Bart Fricke, Esq., Senior Deputy General Counsel Attorney for the Investigative Committee

Dated this // day of 1/0/, 2020.

CLARK HILL PLC

John Hunt, Esq.

Attorney for Respondent

Dated this 19th day of November, 2020.

Victor Ronald Bruce, M.D., Respondent

VB

# OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

## Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

## **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the foregoing Settlement Agreement (Case No. 20-12252-1) was approved and accepted by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners on the 4th day of December, 2020, with the final total amount of costs due of two thousand eighty-four dollars and eighteen cents (\$2,084.18)

DATED this 4+ day of December, 2020.

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners